

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/507,227	02/18/2000	Michael E. Ring	CRD 00036	7857	
7590 01/23/2004			EXAMINER		
James Ray & Associates			BURCH, MELODY M		
2640 Pitcairn Ro Monroeville, PA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	20			
		09/50	7,227	RING ET AL.	⋄			
Office Action Summary			iner	Art Unit				
		Melod	y M. Burch	3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum pure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a ly will, by statute, cause the	e statutory minimum of to nd will expire SIX (6) Mode application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	ed on <u>20 October :</u>	<u>2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL .	s action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-31</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-16 and</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-13,17 and 31</u> is/are re Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	<u>d 18-30</u> is/are witho		deration.				
	ion Papers		·					
9)[The specification is objected to by t	ne Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	* 1		•				
	Applicant may not request that any obj							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	-	-					
-	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTC)-152.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation from I	y documents have by documents have by documents have be of the priority document (PCT) on for a list of the office of the first senter anguage provisional for domestic priority.	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies no y under 35 U.S.0 ince of the specif I application has y under 35 U.S.0	Application No en received in this National Solution received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional action or in an Application Double received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) ata Sheet. specific			
Attachmer								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			 Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 . 				

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/20/03 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 14, 15, 16, and 18-30 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 19.

Claim Objections

3. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 2 has been renumbered as claim 31 since original claim 2 was cancelled on 7/15/02. Additionally, claim 10 should be reworded so that it depends from claim 31 instead of claim 2.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/507,227

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re: claim 4. The phrase "said operating means includes at least one valve means for connecting...to said operating means" in lines 2-4 is indefinite since the valve means is a part of the operating means resulting in the claim language reading as if the at least one valve means provides a means for connecting the source of fluid pressure to itself. Examiner also notes that it is unclear as to which element Applicant intends to refer to as the at least one valve means since in figures 1 and 4 the operating means 30 is broadly shown as an arrow pointing in the area of the slip clutch 130. Clarification is required. The remaining claims are indefinite due to their dependency from claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 2940554 to Cameron.

Application/Control Number: 09/507,227 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

Re: claim 1. Cameron shows in figures 1-3 a hand brake assembly (which includes a hand brake 93) shown generally in figure 2 engageable with a railway vehicle, the hand brake assembly comprising: (a) an operating means 14,23,26,31,50,52 having at least a portion thereof or element 50 engageable with at least one gear 64 as disclosed in col. 6 lines 72-75 of a gear assembly 64,65 disposed in a housing member 6 of the hand brake assembly for operating the gear assembly in an application direction (or the brake application wear adjusting direction that causes element 50 to be unscrewed from element 52 moving the brake shoe 1 in the direction of wheel 2 as disclosed in col. 7 lines 15-29), (b) a source of fluid pressure or the air brake system disclosed in col. 2 lines 65-66 connected to the operating means for periodically supplying a predetermined pressure to the operating means at least sufficient to cause movement in such application direction of the at least one gear of the gear assembly, and (c) a means or brake control valve disclosed in col. 2 line 65 connected to the source of fluid pressure for initiating the supply of the predetermined pressure to the operating means thereby causing an automatic movement of the gear assembly in such application direction by the hand brake assembly particularly under conditions in which the brake shoe 1 wears.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3683

9. Claims 10, 11, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cameron in view of US Patent 4525011 to Wilson.

Re: claim 10. Cameron, as modified, suggests in col. 2 line 65 of Cameron that the source of fluid pressure or the air brake system is pneumatic.

Re: claims 11 and 31. Cameron describes the invention substantially as set forth above including an operating means and a source of fluid pressure, but does not include the limitation of a timing means connected intermediate the operating means and the source of fluid pressure.

Wilson teaches in figure 1 the use of a brake control assembly including a timing means A,B connected intermediate an operating means or the brake cylinders connected to the brake line pressure controlled by brake application valve BAV as discussed in col. 3 lines 45-46 and a source of fluid pressure or main reservoir connected to line 2.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the brake assembly of Cameron to have included a timing means connected intermediate the operating means and the source of fluid pressure, as taught by Wilson, in order to provide a means of controlling the charging of pressure of a circuit between the pressure source and the operating means of the brake assembly.

10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cameron in view of US Patent 4978178 to Engle.

Cameron describes the invention substantially as set forth above, but does not

Art Unit: 3683

include the limitation of an overload protection means.

Engle shows in figure 1 the use of a hand brake assembly including an overload protection means 15 connected to one of a source of fluid pressure 14 and an operating means.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the hand brake assembly of Cameron to have included an overload protection means, as taught by Engle, in order to provide a means of protecting the operating means from receiving an excessive amount of pressure.

11. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cameron in view of US Patent 5813731 to Newman, II et al.

Cameron describes the invention substantially as set forth above including the limitation of a means for initiating the supply of the predetermined pressure to the operating means, but does not specifically disclose that the means for initiating the supply of the pressure is one of a push button and a signal.

Newman, II et al. teach in figure 4 the use of a means for initiating the supply of pressure to an operating means being a valve with a push button 250.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the means for initiating the supply of pressure to the operating means of Cameron to have included a push button, as taught by Newman, II et al. in order to provide a manual means of pressure initiation.

12. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cameron in view of US Patent 3782785 to Budzich.

Art Unit: 3683

Cameron describes the invention substantially as set forth above, but does not include the limitation of the brake assembly including a slip clutch connected to the operating means to provide an overload protection means for the operating means.

Budzich teaches in lines 12-14 of the abstract the use of a brake assembly including a slip clutch to provide an overload protection means for an operating means of the assembly.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the brake assembly of Cameron to have included a slip clutch, as taught by Budzich, in order to provide a means of preventing the occurrence of excessive brake application forces under extreme wheel deceleration.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 4-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. With regard to claim 4, the operating means 14,23,26,31,50,52 of Cameron fails to show or suggest the limitation of at least one valve means.

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melody M. Burch whose telephone number is 703-306-4618. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30 AM-4:00 PM).

Art Unit: 3683

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

mmb 1/3/04 mmb January 8, 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER

Page 8